

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **Timothy David Robert Henderson** 10/708,471 03/05/2004 800769 2470 **EXAMINER** 23372 7590 09/28/2004 TAYLOR RUSSELL & RUSSELL, P.C. NGUYEN, KIEN T 4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS ROAD **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **BUILDING TWO SUITE 250** AUSTIN, TX 78759 3712

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_/
Office Action Summary	10/708,471	HENDERSON, TIMO	OTHY DAVID
	Examiner	Art Unit	······································
	Kien T. Nguyen	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	. <u>. </u> •		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-26</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		A 1: 4:	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		 	222
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea	_	Treceived in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received	
	to the certified copies not	, rodorvou.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_,	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,	- -,

Application/Control Number: 10/708,471

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Objections

Claims 4-26 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-26 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It was not clear what is being claimed in claims 27 and 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nicolai U.S. Patent 4,942,703.

Nicolai disclosed a support structure (12) comprising an elastomeric body (21) that includes a first connection formation (25) for connection with a supporting foundation; a second connection formation (25') for connection with a ride body, and a connection region (21) interconnecting the first and second connection formations, the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,471

Art Unit: 3712

elastomeric body (21) being formed of polyurethane (see column 3, lines 25-27), and being formed as a one-piece moulding (see column 3, lines 14-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicolai in view of MacLeay et al U.S. Patent 5,206,378.

It is noted that Nicolai failed to teach the use of an ultraviolet stabilizing formation as set forth therein. However, MacLeay et al taught the use of ultraviolet stabilizer for use in combination with elastomers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the support (21) of Nicolai with the ultraviolet stabilizer as taught by MacLeay for the purpose of enhancing the finished properties of the finished polymer.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,471

Art Unit: 3712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn